

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

MISC. CIVIL APPLN.(CONTEMPT PETITION) No 1662 of 1993

in

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.M.SONI

and

MR.JUSTICE R.R.JAIN

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

RAMABHAI BHIKHABHAI

Versus

PETLAD BULAKHIDAS MILL CO.LTD.

Appearance:

MS HEMABEN D PATEL for Petitioner

MR GM JOSHI for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.M.SONI and

MR.JUSTICE R.R.JAIN

Date of decision: 12/09/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT (Per Soni, J.)

This application is filed for taking action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act for noncompliance of the order dated 17.12.1992 passed by the

Labour Court, Anand, in Application No. 1 of 1992. By the said order, the petitioner is ordered to be reinstated. As respondents have not complied with the same within the time stipulated in the order the present application is filed.

Labour Court is not a Court subordinate to the High Court as contemplated under Section 10 of the Contempt of Courts Act as held by the Supreme Court in the case of the Alahar Cooperative Credit Service Society v. Sham Lal, reported in 1995 (2) G.L.H. 550. As Labour Court is not a Court subordinate to the High Court, the petition cannot be entertained by this Court and hence the same is hereby dismissed. Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.